Case 4:24-mj-70378-MAG Document 6 Filed 03/14/24 Page 1 of 1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA OAKLAND DIVISION

United	d States of America,) Case No. 4:24-MJ-70378-MAC-
nik	Plaintiff, v. Velas que z-Sevilla) STIPULATED ORDER EXCLUDING TIME) UNDER THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT)
continuance o	ns stated by the parties on the record on $\frac{319120}{19120}$ to $\frac{319120}{19120}$ outweigh the best interest of the public	and finds that the ends of justice served by the and the defendant in a speedy trial. See 18 U.S.C. § asses this continuance on the following factor(s):
	Failure to grant a continuance would See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(i).	be likely to result in a miscarriage of justice.
	defendants, the nature of the p or law, that it is unreasonable to expe	the number of the existence of novel questions of fact adequate preparation for pretrial proceedings or the trial and by this section. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(ii).
		deny the defendant reasonable time to obtain counsel, are diligence. <i>See</i> 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).
		unreasonably deny the defendant continuity of counsel, given nitments, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
<u> </u>		unreasonably deny the defendant the reasonable time aking into account the exercise of due diligence.
X	disposition of criminal cases, the couparagraph and — based on the parties the time limits for a preliminary hear extending the 30-day time period for	and taking into account the public interest in the prompt art sets the preliminary hearing to the date set forth in the first s' showing of good cause — finds good cause for extending ing under Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 5.1 and for an indictment under the Speedy Trial Act (based on the R. Crim. P. 5.1; 18 U.S.C. § 3161(b).
IT IS SO ORDERED.		
DATED: 314 2024		
		DONNA M. RYU United States Magistrate Judge
O. T.	II ATED: OULO (2 UMA	The Day Dallito
STIPU	Attorney for Defendant	Assistant United States Attorney